IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA MACON DIVISION

JOHNNY CONNER NICHOLS,

Plaintiff, : NO. 5:11-CV-116 (MTT)

VS.

DR. BURNSIDE, :

: Proceedings Under 42 U.S.C. §1983
Defendant. : Before the U.S. Magistrate Judge

:

ORDER

Before the Court is a Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendant Dr. Edward Hale Burnside, alleging, among other things, that Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against them for which relief can be granted. Doc. 16. Since Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the Court deems it appropriate and necessary to advise him of his obligation to respond and of the consequences which he may suffer if he fails to file a proper response.

Plaintiff is advised:

- 1. that a Motion to Dismiss has been filed by the above named Defendants;
- 2. that he has the right to oppose the granting of that motion; and,
- 3. if he fails to oppose the motion, his claims may be DISMISSED.

The Plaintiff is further advised that, under the procedures and policies of this court, motions to dismiss are normally decided on briefs. That is, the Court considers the pleadings and briefs filed by the parties in deciding whether dismissal is appropriate under the law. Failure of the Plaintiff to respond, in writing, to the Motion to Dismiss may result in the granting of the motion, without a hearing or any further proceedings.

Case 5:11-cv-00116-MTT Document 17 Filed 09/01/11 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the Plaintiff is **ORDERED AND DIRECTED** to file a response to the Motion

to Dismiss ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 26, 2011. Thereafter, the Court will consider the

motion and any opposition to the same filed by the Plaintiff. If no response is submitted by Plaintiff,

the Court will consider said motion to be uncontested.

SO ORDERED, this 1st day of September, 2011.

s/ Charles H. Weigle

Charles H. Weigle

United States Magistrate Judge